

H

BEFORE THE  
DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY  
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation )  
Against: )

STEVEN R. LARSEN, M.D. )  
Certificate No. G-27758 )

No: 08-1998-84009

Respondent )

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 13, 2000

IT IS SO ORDERED February 11, 2000

By: \_\_\_\_\_

IRA LUBELL, M.D.

President

Division of Medical Quality

1 **BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General**  
of the State of California  
2 **GAIL M. HEPPELL, Supervising**  
Deputy Attorney General  
3 **ISA R. RODRIGUEZ (State Bar No. 104838)**  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 California Department of Justice  
2550 Mariposa Mall, Rm. 5090  
5 Fresno, California 93721  
Telephone: (559) 488-7384  
6 FAX: (559) 445-5106

7 Attorneys for Complainant

8  
9 **BEFORE THE**  
10 **DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY**  
11 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**  
**DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	)	Case No. 08-1998-84009
	)	
13 <b>STEVEN R. LARSEN, M.D.</b>	)	OAH No. N-1999-090148
1800 Easy Street	)	
14 Hanford, California 93230	)	<b>STIPULATED SETTLEMENT</b>
	)	<b>AND</b>
15 Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G-27758,	)	<b>DISCIPLINARY ORDER</b>
	)	
16 Respondent.	)	

17  
18 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED** by and between the parties to  
19 the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

- 20 1. An Accusation in case number 08-1998-84009 was filed with the  
21 Division of Medical Quality, of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer  
22 Affairs (the "Division") on May 27, 1999, and is currently pending against STEVEN R.  
23 LARSEN, M.D. (the "respondent").
- 24 2. The Accusation, together with all statutorily required documents, was  
25 duly served on the respondent on or about May 27, 1999, and respondent filed his Notice of  
26 Defense contesting the Accusation on or about September 17, 1999. A copy of Accusation

27 ////

1 No. 08-1998-84009 is attached as Exhibit "A" and hereby incorporated by reference as if fully  
2 set forth.

3 3. Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical  
4 Board of California and brought this action solely in his official capacity. The Complainant is  
5 represented by the Attorney General of California, Bill Lockyer, by and through Deputy  
6 Attorney General Isa R. Rodriguez.

7 4. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical  
8 Board of California under Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G-27758.

9 5. Respondent is represented in this matter by Tyler Draa, Esq., whose  
10 address is Hinshaw, Winkler, Draa, Marsh & Still, 12901 Saratoga Ave., Saratoga, California  
11 95070-9998.

12 6. Respondent and his attorney have fully read and discussed the charges  
13 contained in Accusation Number 08-1998-84009. Respondent has been fully advised regarding  
14 his legal rights and the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

15 7. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the  
16 Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, the charges and allegations would constitute cause  
17 for imposing discipline upon his Physician and Surgeon's Certificate. Respondent is fully  
18 aware of his right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation, his right to confront  
19 and cross-examine witnesses against him, his right to the use of subpoenas to compel the  
20 attendance of witnesses and the production of documents in both defense and mitigation of the  
21 charges, his right to reconsideration, court review and any and all other rights accorded by the  
22 California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

23 8. Respondent knowingly, voluntarily and irrevocably waives and gives up  
24 each of these rights.

25 9. Respondent denies each and every allegation of gross negligence or  
26 incompetence but acknowledges that at a hearing the Board could establish a prima facie case  
27 for repeated negligent acts pursuant to Code section 2234(c). Respondent asserts that at a

1 hearing he could raise defenses to the allegations contained in Accusation No. 08-1998-84009  
2 but for purposes of this stipulation only chooses to forego such defenses and hereby waives  
3 them. Respondent agrees that he has thereby subjected his Physician and Surgeon's Certificate  
4 to disciplinary action. Respondent agrees to be bound by the Division's Disciplinary Order as  
5 set forth below. The admissions made by respondent herein are for the purpose of this  
6 proceeding and any other proceedings in which the Division of Medical Quality, Medical  
7 Board of California, or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be  
8 admissible in any other criminal or civil proceedings.

9           10. In mitigation, respondent asserts that this was an isolated incident which  
10 he has already taken steps to correct and that this is the only time in over 21 years of practice  
11 that he has been disciplined by the Board.

12           11. Based on the need to see Medi-Cal patients in a predominantly rural area  
13 and in the emergency room setting, the Board determines that there are compelling  
14 circumstances to warrant the continuance of Medi-Cal reimbursement during the probationary  
15 period.

16           12. Based on the foregoing admissions and stipulated matters, the parties  
17 agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the  
18 following order:

19                           **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

20           **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Physician and Surgeon's Certificate number  
21 G-27758 issued to STEVEN R. LARSEN, M.D., is revoked. However, the revocation is  
22 stayed and respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and  
23 conditions. Within fifteen (15) days after the effective date of this decision the respondent  
24 shall provide the Division, or its designee, proof of service that respondent has served a true  
25 copy of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital  
26 where privileges or membership are extended to respondent or where respondent is employed

27    ////

1 to practice medicine and on the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier where  
2 malpractice insurance coverage is extended to respondent.

3           1.     EDUCATION COURSE   Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of  
4 this decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division or its  
5 designee for its prior approval an educational program or course in the area of emergency care,  
6 which shall not be less than 10 hours per year, for each year of probation. This program shall  
7 be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirements for re-licensure. Following  
8 the completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to  
9 test respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for  
10 35 hours of continuing medical education of which 10 hours were in satisfaction of this  
11 condition and were approved in advance by the Division or its designee.

12           2.     ETHICS COURSE   Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this  
13 decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in Ethics approved in advance by the Division or  
14 its designee, and shall successfully complete the course during the first year of probation.

15           3.     ORAL CLINICAL OR WRITTEN EXAM   Respondent shall take and pass an  
16 oral clinical exam in emergency care to be administered by the Division, or its designee. This  
17 examination shall be taken within ninety (90) days after the effective date of this decision. If  
18 respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be allowed to take and pass a second  
19 examination, which may consist of a written as well as an oral examination. The waiting  
20 period between the first and second examinations shall be at least three (3) months. If  
21 respondent fails to pass the first and second examination, respondent may take a third and final  
22 examination after waiting a period of one (1) year. Failure to pass the oral clinical  
23 examination within eighteen (18) months after the effective date of this decision shall constitute  
24 a violation of probation. The respondent shall pay the costs of these examinations within  
25 ninety (90) days of the administration of each exam. Failure to pay these costs shall constitute  
26 a violation of probation.

27    ////

1 If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be suspended from the  
2 practice of medicine until a repeat examination has been successfully passed, as evidenced by  
3 written notice to respondent from the Division or its designee.

4 4. OBEDIENT ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local  
5 laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance  
6 with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.

7 5. QUARTERLY REPORTS Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations  
8 under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been  
9 compliance with all the conditions of probation.

10 6. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE Respondent shall  
11 comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times,  
12 keep the Division informed of his business and residence addresses which shall both serve as  
13 addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing  
14 to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record.

15 Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of  
16 any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to  
17 last, more than thirty (30) days.

18 7. INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS DESIGNATED  
19 PHYSICIAN(S) Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee  
20 or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

21 8. TOLLING FOR OUT-OF-STATE PRACTICE, RESIDENCE OR IN-STATE NON-  
22 PRACTICE In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the  
23 State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent  
24 shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure  
25 and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any  
26 period of time exceeding thirty (30) days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities  
27 defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an

1 intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time  
2 spent in the practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice  
3 outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not  
4 apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

5 9. COMPLETION OF PROBATION Upon successful completion of probation,  
6 respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.

7 10. VIOLATION OF PROBATION If respondent violates probation in any  
8 respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may  
9 revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or  
10 petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall  
11 have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be  
12 extended until the matter is final.

13 11. COST RECOVERY The respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the  
14 Division the amount of \$3,200.00 for its investigative and prosecution costs. The \$3,200.00  
15 shall be paid in four quarterly installments of \$800.00 each with the first payment due within  
16 ninety (90) days after the effective date of this decision and each subsequent installment within  
17 ninety (90) days after the previous one. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of  
18 investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the  
19 Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship.  
20 The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility  
21 to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.

22 12. PROBATION COSTS Respondent shall pay the costs associated with  
23 probation monitoring each and every year of probation, which are currently set at \$2,304.00,  
24 but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical  
25 Quality and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor at the beginning of each  
26 calendar year. Failure to pay costs within thirty (30) days of the due date shall constitute a  
27 violation of probation.

1                   13.    LICENSE SURRENDER   Following the effective date of this decision, if  
2 respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy  
3 the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the  
4 Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its  
5 discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and  
6 reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license,  
7 respondent will not longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

8   CONTINGENCY

9                   This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division of Medical  
10 Quality. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may  
11 communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without  
12 notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel. If the Division fails to adopt this  
13 stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in  
14 any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further  
15 action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

16   ACCEPTANCE

17                   I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I have fully  
18 discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my attorney, Tyler  
19 Draa, Esq. I understand the effect this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order will have  
20 on my Physician and Surgeon's Certificate, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter this  
21 stipulation freely, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily.

22                   DATED: 12-27-99


23

24

25

26

27

  
STEVEN R. LARSEN, M.D.  
Respondent

////

////



1 I have read and fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters  
2 contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order with respondent STEVEN  
3 R. LARSEN, M.D., and approve of its form and content.

4 DATED: 27 Dec 99

5  
6   
7 TYLER DRAA  
8 Attorney for Respondent

9 **ENDORSEMENT**

10 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby  
11 respectfully submitted for the consideration of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board  
12 of California Department of Consumer Affairs.

13 DATED: January 11, 2000.

14 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General  
15 of the State of California

16   
17 ISA R. RODRIGUEZ  
18 Deputy Attorney General

19 Attorneys for Complainant

20 Exhibit: Accusation  
21 forms\stip.dmq [298 rev]  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**FILED**  
**STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
**MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SACRAMENTO, May 27 19 99**  
**BY Pamela S. Miller ANALYST**

1 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General  
of the State of California  
2 GAIL HEPPELL, Supervising  
Deputy Attorney General  
3 ISA R. RODRIGUEZ (State Bar No. 104838)  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 California Department of Justice  
2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090  
5 Fresno, California 93721  
Telephone: (559) 488-7384  
6  
7 Attorneys for Complainant

8 **BEFORE THE**  
9 **DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY**  
10 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**  
11 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
12 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation ) Case No. 08-1998-84009  
Against: )

13 STEVEN RODNEY LARSEN, M.D. ) **ACCUSATION**  
14 1800 Easy Street )  
Hanford, CA 93230 )

15 Physician and Surgeon's Certificate )  
16 No. G-27758 )  
Physician Assistant Supervisor )  
17 License No. SA-19134, )

18 Respondent. )  
19

20 The Complainant alleges:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. Ron Joseph ("Complainant") brings this accusation  
23 solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the  
24 Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Board").

25 2. On or about August 12, 1974, Physician and Surgeon's  
26 Certificate No. G-27758 was issued by the Board to Steven Rodney  
27 Larsen, M.D., (hereinafter "respondent"). At all times relevant to

1 the charges brought herein, this license has been in full force and  
2 effect. Unless renewed, it will expire on May 31, 2001.

3 3. On or about April 15, 1991, Physician Assistant  
4 Supervisor License No. SA-19134 was issued by the Physician  
5 Assistant Committee to respondent, and at all times relevant to the  
6 charges brought herein, this license has been in full force and  
7 effect. Unless renewed, it will expire on May 31, 2001.

8 JURISDICTION

9 4. This accusation is brought before the Division of  
10 Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of  
11 Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), under the authority  
12 of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code  
13 (hereinafter "Code"):

14 A. Section 2227 of the Code provides that the  
15 Board may revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year,  
16 or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has  
17 been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act.

18 B. Section 2234 of the Code provides that  
19 unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the  
20 following:

21 "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or  
22 indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or  
23 conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.

24 (b) Gross negligence.

25 (c) Repeated negligent acts.

26 (d) Incompetence."

27 / / / /

1 C. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in part,  
2 that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct  
3 any licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of  
4 the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the  
5 reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

6 D. Section 14124.12(a) of the Welfare &  
7 Institutions Code provides in pertinent part that upon written  
8 notice of the Medical Board of California that a physician and  
9 surgeon's medical license has been placed on probation as a result  
10 of a disciplinary action, no Medi-Cal claim for the type of  
11 surgical service or invasive procedure giving rise to the  
12 probationary order and performed on or after the effective date of  
13 said probationary order or during the period of probation shall be  
14 reimbursed, except upon a prior determination that compelling  
15 circumstances warrant the continuance of reimbursement during the  
16 probationary period for procedures other than those giving rise to  
17 the probationary order.

18 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

19 (Repeated Negligence)

20 5 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for  
21 repeated negligent acts under section 2234(c) of the Code in that  
22 he failed to adequately manage the care provided Patient J.C.<sup>1/</sup>  
23 The circumstances are as follows:

24 A. On or about December 25, 1995, Patient J.C., a  
25 four-year-old female, became ill while on a family outing,  
26

---

27 1. Initials used to protect privacy. Names will be  
provided through normal discovery.

1 complaining of being cold. Later, at home, J.C. developed a  
2 fever, was restless and vomited at least once. At  
3 approximately 9 p.m., J.C. was taken to the Kaweah Delta  
4 Hospital Emergency Room by her mother. By that time, J.C. had  
5 vomited again, was congested and had a dry cough. Examination  
6 by Dr. Sydney Allen Frank revealed that J.C. was alert,  
7 cooperative, and well hydrated. J.C. was diagnosed with a  
8 "viral infection, nausea/vomiting," given Phenergan and  
9 Tylenol, and sent home with written instructions to contact  
10 her physician if her condition worsened.

11 J.C.'s condition worsened overnight and she was  
12 returned to the Kaweah Delta Emergency Room very early the  
13 next morning, December 26, 1995. J.C.'s mother kept telling  
14 the receptionist that J.C. was very ill but nonetheless had to  
15 wait 30 to 45 minutes before being registered by the  
16 receptionist at about 7:18 a.m. At 7:32 a.m., J.C. was  
17 triaged by an Emergency Room nurse who noted that the child  
18 appeared ill with a rash on her hands and torso. Vital signs  
19 taken at 7:36 a.m. revealed a pulse of 193, respiratory rate  
20 of 44, and a temperature of 102.4 degrees fahrenheit. At 7:45  
21 a.m., J.C.'s blood pressure was noted to be 60 (systolic)  
22 which is significantly lower than would be expected for a  
23 four-year-old child. Blood for laboratory testing, consisting  
24 of a complete blood count and blood culture, was obtained at  
25 7:50 a.m., but the results were not reported until after J.C.  
26 was transferred to another facility.

27 / / / /

1                   Emergency Room records indicate that respondent  
2 first evaluated J.C. at 8:17 a.m., although respondent  
3 apparently gave verbal orders for laboratory tests at 7:41  
4 a.m. Respondent's record of the physical examination of J.C.  
5 makes no mention of the purpuric rash but does note that the  
6 heart rhythm is regular, when in fact it is tachycardiac.  
7 Respondent also fails to note, when describing the lungs, that  
8 J.C. is dyspneic with respiration varying between 44 and 56.  
9 At 8:21 a.m., respondent ordered a fluid bolus of 200 cc of  
10 D5/NS, which is approximately a 10 cc/kg given J.C.'s weight.

11                   At 8:31 a.m., respondent called for a consultation  
12 and consulted with Dr. Ramon Galindo, a pediatrician, at 8:46  
13 a.m. During this consultation, respondent told Dr. Galindo  
14 that J.C. had a purple rash on her extremities. Dr. Galindo  
15 asked if respondent thought it was meningococcemia and  
16 respondent replied that he didn't know because he had never  
17 seen meningococcemia before and that he didn't know what the  
18 rash was.

19                   Hospital records show that two of the nurses  
20 attending J.C. approached respondent, individually, at 8:52  
21 a.m. and at 8:55 a.m. and inquired about beginning antibiotic  
22 therapy. However, respondent gave no orders for antibiotic  
23 therapy and J.C. continued to be observed in the Emergency  
24 Room.

25                   Dr. Galindo arrived at the Kaweah Delta Hospital  
26 Emergency Room at 9:30 a.m. to see J.C. Upon examining J.C.,  
27 Dr. Galindo noticed the rash covered most of her body, she had

1 a rapid pulse, and was crying. Dr. Galindo determined J.C.  
2 had meningococemia and immediately ordered antibiotic  
3 therapy. At 9:45 a.m., Dr. Galindo was advised that J.C. had  
4 blood tinged tears, which meant J.C. was having disseminated  
5 intravascular coagulopathy (DIC). Dr. Galindo canceled a  
6 proposed C.T. scan and arranged for J.C. to be transferred by  
7 ambulance to Valley Children's Hospital in Fresno, California.

8 At 10:10 a.m., J.C. was placed in an ambulance where  
9 her mother, a nurse, a paramedic, and the driver were to  
10 accompany her to Valley Children's Hospital. En route, J.C.'s  
11 condition rapidly deteriorated and the ambulance diverted to  
12 the nearest hospital Emergency Room. At 11:04 a.m., the  
13 ambulance arrived at Fresno Community Hospital Emergency Room  
14 with J.C. in full cardiac arrest. Attempts at resuscitation  
15 were unsuccessful and J.C. was pronounced dead at 11:26 a.m.

16 6. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraph 5,  
17 above, constitutes repeated negligence in that:

18 A. He delayed in assessing and initiating  
19 resuscitation in a patient with evidence of septic shock;

20 B. He failed to recognize the significance of the  
21 purpura (purple skin rash) and thus failed to diagnose  
22 meningococemia;

23 C. He failed to adequately resuscitate a septic,  
24 hypotensive, tachycardic patient in that he ordered a bolus of  
25 saline that was insufficient to restore intravascular volume,  
26 failed to consider the use of pressor agents, and failed to

27 / / / /

1        initiate early endotracheal intubation and respiratory  
2        support;

3                D.    He failed to note the rash or the tachycardia  
4        during the course of his physical examination of J.C.; and,

5                E.    He failed to order antibiotics for a patient  
6        with meningococcemia who exhibited signs of septic shock.

7                7.    Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraph 6A  
8        through 6E, above, individually or collectively or in any  
9        combination thereof constitutes repeated negligence and is  
10       therefore subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 2234(c).

11                                **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

12                                (Gross Negligence)

13                8.    Paragraphs 5 and 6, above, are realleged and  
14        incorporated by reference as if fully set forth here.

15                9.    Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraph 6A  
16        through 6E, above, individually or collectively or in any  
17        combination thereof constitutes gross negligence and is therefore  
18        subject to discipline pursuant to Code sections 2234(b).

19                                **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

20                                (Incompetence)

21                10.   Paragraphs 5 and 6, above, are realleged and  
22        incorporated by reference as if fully set forth here.

23                -11.   Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraph 6A  
24        through 6E, above, individually or collectively or in any  
25        combination thereof constitutes incompetence and is therefore  
26        subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 2234(d).

27        / / / /



1 PRAYER

2 WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be  
3 held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing,  
4 the Division issue a decision:


5 1. Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's  
6 Certificate Number G-27758, heretofore issued to respondent Steven  
7 Rodney Larsen, M.D.;

8 2. Revoking or suspending respondent's authority to  
9 supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the  
10 Code;

11 3. Ordering respondent to pay the Division the  
12 reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case  
13 and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;

14 4. Taking such other and further action as the Division  
15 deems necessary and proper.

16 DATED: May 27, 1999

17  
18   
19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 Ron Joseph  
21 Executive Director  
22 Medical Board of California  
23 Department of Consumer Affairs  
24 State of California

25 Complainant

26 03573-160-SA1999AD0476